ZAYO'S PRINEVILLE TO RENO PROJECT CPUC MINOR PROJECT REFINEMENT FORM

[with instructions]

Minor Project refinements are strictly limited to changes that will not trigger an additional permit requirement, do not substantially increase the severity of a previously identified significant impact based on criteria used in the SB156 Exemption Report, create a new significant impact, are located within the geographic boundary of the study area of the SB156 Exemption Report, and that do not conflict with any environmental measure or applicable law or policy.

Report No.:
Approval Agency:
Approval Agency.
Location/Milepost:
Sensitive Resources:
☐ Drawing ☐ Environmental Measure ☐
_

Describe how Project refinement deviates from current Project. Include photos.


What to include in this section:

- <u>Original Condition</u>: A concise description of the existing condition as it is originally described and approved (NTP, engineering specifications, Final EA/ISMND, etc.)—i.e., how did the applicant originally intend to build this/do this?
- <u>Justification for change</u>: A concise description of and justification for the change requested i.e., what happened to make the change necessary?
 - These descriptions should be detailed enough and include enough background so that a person unfamiliar with the Project should be able to follow the narrative about what the original plan was and why the new plan is needed instead
 - The description should be in layman's terms to the extent possible. Be as specific as possible.
 The more vague the language, the more conditions may need to be added to account for omissions. Avoid logic leaps
- Maps and Figures: The exact location(s)/Project component(s) the change will affect. Include dimensions, if applicable. A map and/or figure is usually extremely helpful. Make sure the map is at a readable scale. Ideally, the map should be based on the most current Project map and show other Project components, survey areas, underlying topography, etc.
- <u>Environmental Impact</u>: Demonstrate that the applicant has considered how this change will affect environmental/cultural resources. List EMs, plans, permits, etc. that were reviewed in order to ensure that this change will not result in significant impacts
 - Include analyses demonstrating that projected impacts will not be significant (e.g., narrative justification, tables, figures, calculations, etc.). Base this analysis on what was previously analyzed in the NTP, SB156 Exemption Report, etc.
- <u>Concurrence (if appropriate)</u>: Demonstrate that the applicant has considered whether other agencies, municipalities, utilities, etc. would need to provide concurrence with this MPM. If so, either provide anticipated contact/approval schedule, or provide dates/contact reports/emails with approvals.

Resources:					
Biological	☐ No Resources Present	☐ Resources Present	□ N/A, Change would not affect resources		
Previous Biologi	ical Survey Report Refere	ence:			
Cultural	☐ No Resources Present	☐ Resources Present	□ N/A, changes would not affect resources		
Previous Cultural Survey Report Reference:					
	• •				
<u>Disturbance Acreage Changes:</u> ☐ Yes ☐ No					
Original		New disturbance			
disturbance		acreage:			
acreage:					

SB156 Exemption Report Section	Applicable	(Y) Define potential impact or (N) briefly explain why SB 156 Exemption Report section isn't applicable. If (Y), describe original and new level of impact, and environmental measures to be taken. [Add notes to specify whether agency consultation is necessary, and if so, provide brief summary of that consultation.]
Geology, Soils,	□ Yes	
and Seismic	□ No	
Agency	☐ Yes	
Consultation?	□ No	
Hazardous Materials and	☐ Yes	
Waste	□ No	
Agency	☐ Yes	
Consultation?	□ No	
Hydrology	☐ Yes	
Trydrology	□ No	
Agency	☐ Yes	
Consultation?	□ No	
Cultural Resources	☐ Yes	
Cultural Resources	□ No	
Agency	☐ Yes	
Consultation?	□ No	
Traffic and Circulation	□ Yes	
	□ No	
Agency Consultation?	□ Yes	
	□ No	
Air Quality	☐ Yes	
	□ No	
Agency Consultation?	☐ Yes	
	□ No	
Noise and Vibration	☐ Yes	
Violation	□ No	
Agency Consultation?	☐ Yes	
	□ No	
Aesthetics/ Visual Resources	☐ Yes	
	□ No	
Agency Consultation?	☐ Yes	
	□ No	
Vegetation and Wildlife	□ Yes	
	□ No	
Agency Consultation?	☐ Yes	
20110a1uuti0111	□ No	

Approvals	Date	Name (print)	Signa	ature		
Zayo Project Manager					☐ Reviewed	
CPUC Project Manager			connie chen		☐ Approved with conditions (see below) ☐ Denied	
Fau CDUC Camplia	was Manag	on Has Only				
For CPUC Complia		Refinement □	Denied	□ Bevo	ond Authority	
Conditions of Approval or Reason for Denial:						
Prepared by:			Date:			



- ALL LOCATIONS OF UTILITIES ARE APPROXIMATE, AND MUST BE VERIFIED BEFORE CONSTRUCTION.
- INCHES.
- EXTENSIVE LOCATES FOR DEPTHS WILL BE CONFIRMED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- RIGHT-OF-WAY (ROW) INFORMATION SHOWN IS APPROXIMATED AND BASED ON AVAILABLE CDOT ROW MAPS.
- PROPOSED RUNNING LINE IS NOT AT REQUESTED 5' FROM ROW TO MAINTAIN ENVIORNMENTAL, AND RESOURCE AVOIDANCE.
- FOC LINE SHALL BE AS FAR AWAY AS POSSIBLE FROM THE INLET AND OUTLET OF ALL HYDRAULIC STRUCTURES INCLUDING CULVERTS AND BRIDGES FOLLOWING LOCAL JURISDICTIONS.
- PROFILE ELEVATIONS ARE NOT HIGHWAY CENTERLINE, BUT AT THE CONDUIT ALIGNMENT.
- ALL WORK WILL BE DONE WITH A FIELD MONITOR FOR ALL ENVIRONMENTAL AVOIDANCE.



EXCEPT AS MAY BE OTHERWISE PROVIDED BY CONTRACT, THESE DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF ZAYO. BOTH BEING ISSUED IN STRICT CONFIDENCE AND SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, OR USED FOR ANY PURPOSE WITHOUT SPECIFIC WRITTEN PERMISSION.

NO 66820

ZAYO GROUP

APPROVING AUTHORITY:

GENERAL CONTRACTOR:

RFI NO. 0094 SHIFT ALIGNMENT 6' OFF EOP STA 518+70TO STA 521+14	06/03/2024

FOR TRAFFIC CONTROL REFER TO 2023 CALTRANS STANDARDS AND LATEST EDITION OF CAMUTCD

RIGHTS-OF-WAY ARE DEPICTED BASED ON LATEST DOT RECORDS AVAILABLE. IF FURTHER ACCURACY IS REQUIRED, LAND SURVEYS WILL BE NECESSARY







9	REVISION #9 - CALTRANS REV	SM SM	12/14/2023	DR.
8 REVISION #8 - CALTRANS REV			10/31/2023	JOI
7	REVISION #7 - CALTRANS REV	SM	7/28/2023	
6	REVISION #6 - R/L ADJUSTMENT	WP	06/14/2022	SC
5	REVISION #5 - R/L ADJUSTMENT	WP	12/29/2021	
4	REVISION #4 - R/L ADJUSTMENT	WP	12/14/2021	1
3	REVISION #3 - R/L ADJUSTMENT	WP	11/30/2021	
2	REVISION #2 - ADDED PROFILES	WP	11/05/2021	
1	REVISION #1 - R/L ADJUSTMENT	WP	9/3/2020	
REV. NO.	DESCRIPTION TO REVISION	REV. BY	DATE	

AI - STATE LINE TO ALTURAS

RFI_0094_MP_M_AI94

SCALE:	1"=100'	SHEET NO.:	4 ∩F	110
JOB NUMBER:	UPR	74	4 OF	117
DRAWN BY:	WP		DATE:	5/30/24

